

# **Computational Phase-Field Modeling**

----- UKACM 2022 School, University of Nottingham, 20-22 April 2022 -----

### Kris van der Zee

School of Mathematical Sciences



UNITED KINGDOM - CHINA - MALARSIA

# Main reference

### H. Gomez and K.G. van der Zee.

*Computational phase-field modeling.* in Encyclopedia of Computational Mechanics, Second Edition,

E. Stein, R. de Borst and T.J.R. Hughes, eds., John Wiley & Sons, (2017)



#### Abstract

Phase-field modeling is emerging as a promising tool for the treatment of problems with interfaces. ...

# Main reference

### H. Gomez and K.G. van der Zee.

Computational phase-field modeling. in Encyclopedia of Computational Mechanics, Second Edition, E. Stein, R. de Borst and T.J.R. Hughes, eds., John Wiley & Sons, (2017)

Who am I?

- PhD (Delft), Postdoc (Austin, Texas)
   Assistant/Associate Prof (Eindhoven) (Nottingham)
- Research areas:

Fluid-structure interaction, multi-phase flow, cancer tumour growth, finite element methods, adaptive methods, approximation of PDEs machine learning for scientific computation

### Who are you? http://menti.com

• "What is your research area?"

"Have you heard of phase-field modeling (before today)?"

#### 1. Two-phase fluid flow



2. Phase separation in alloys / phase transition in metals



#### 2. Phase separation in alloys / phase transition in metals







#### 3. Crack propagation



(Borden, Verhoosel, Scott, Hughes, Landis, CMAME 2012)

4. Solidification, melting, crystal growth



5. Biological growth phenomena, e.g. tumors



(Hawkins-Daarud, van der Zee, Oden, IJNMBE 2012 )

### Definition: Phase-field model<sup>1</sup> (Wikipedia)

A mathematical model for solving interfacial problems.

The phase field takes distinct values in each of the phases, with a smooth change between both values around the interface.

<sup>1</sup> Also referred to as: **Diffuse-interface model** 



## Sharp interface vs diffuse interface (phase-field model)





-1



# Learning objectives

### **Computational Phase-Field Modeling**

- I. What are the phase-field models?
- **II** Why do they work?
- III How do you solve them numerically?
- **Ⅳ** Where do they actually come from?



# Learning objectives

### **Computational Phase-Field Modeling**

- **Examples** of phase-field models
- II. Main principle: Energy dissipation
- III Numerics: Energy-stable methods
- **Foundations**: Thermomechanics and mixture theory



- 1 Navier–Stokes–Cahn–Hilliard (two-phase flow)
- 2 Cahn-Larché (phase separation in elastic solids)
- 3 Phase-field fracture
- 4 The phase-field model of solidification
- 5 Diffuse-interface tumor-growth model



1.



2.





4.



5.



### "Phase"?

- Concentration in a mixture: φ, c
   Example: volume fraction φ, mass fraction c
- State of matter (phase): φ Example: gas, liquid, solid
- Order parameter (measure of the degree of order in a system):  $\phi$ Example: crystal lattice configuration

### Viewpoints on phase-field models

#### Computational

Regularization of a sharp-interface.

Complex interactions are included; no need to track interfaces.

Physics / Mechanics

New (!) meso-scale continuum thermo-mechanics models.

Mathematics

Nonlinear, higher-order, singularly perturbed, parabolic (dissipative) PDEs.

Two elementary phase-field models

Cahn–Hilliard equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta \Big( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \varepsilon \Delta \varphi \Big)$$

Allen-Cahn equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) + \varepsilon \Delta \varphi$$

# Outline

- I. What are the phase-field models?
- **Why do they work?**
- III How do you solve them numerically?
- Where do they actually come from?

# Outline

- I. What are the phase-field models?
- Why do they work? Main principle: Energy dissipation
- III How do you solve them numerically?
- **Ⅳ** Where do they actually come from?



Two elementary phase-field models

Cahn–Hilliard equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta \Big( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \varepsilon \Delta \varphi \Big)$$



Short time scales

Two elementary phase-field models

Cahn–Hilliard equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta \Big( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \varepsilon \Delta \varphi \Big)$$



Long time scales (2-D)

#### Two elementary phase-field models

Cahn–Hilliard equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta \Big( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \varepsilon \Delta \varphi \Big)$$



Long time scales (3-D)

**Cahn–Hilliard model** 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta \mu & \text{in } \Omega \\ \mu = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \varepsilon \Delta \varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ \partial_n \varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \\ \partial_n \mu = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

Energy dissipation (Cahn-Hilliard eq.)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathcal{E}(\varphi) \le 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{E}(\varphi) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}f(\varphi) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\nabla\varphi|^2\right) \mathrm{d}x$$

# Outline

- I. What are the phase-field models?
- **II** Why do they work?
- How do you solve them numerically? Numerics: Energy-stable methods
- Where do they actually come from?



24/43

### Space and time discretization of phase-field models

- 1 Weak formulation
- 2 Galerkin approximation: System of ODEs
- 3 Time-stepping method: Algebraic system

# Exercise

### A. Discretization

- **1** Derive the system of ODEs for the space-discretized (Galerkin) Cahn-Hilliard equation, starting from:  $\int \partial \varphi = \Delta u$ 
  - the system of 2 second-order equations:
  - or, the fourth-order equation:

vations: 
$$\begin{cases} \overline{\partial t} - \Delta \mu \\ \mu = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \epsilon \Delta \\ \overline{\partial t} = \Delta \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \epsilon \Delta \varphi \right) \end{cases}$$

2 How would you discretize in time?

### B. Energy dissipation

Pick your problem of interest (NSCH, PFF, or AC),

and show that the total energy dissipates:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{E}}{\mathrm{d}t} \le 0$$

(NSCH: Add kinetic energy 
$$\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{u}|^2$$
.)  
(PFF: Add elastic energy  $W(c, \mathbf{e})$ )  
(Which boundary conditions did you choose?)

φ

# Exercise A

- **1** Derive the system of ODEs for the space-discretized (Galerkin) Cahn–Hilliard equation, starting from:  $\partial \varphi$ 
  - the system of 2 second-order equations:
  - or, the fourth-order equation:  $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta$

2 How would you discretize in time?

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta \mu \\ \mu = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \epsilon \Delta \varphi \end{cases}$$
$$\Delta \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \epsilon \Delta \varphi \right)$$

# Exercise B

Pick your problem of interest (NSCH, PFF, or AC), and show that the total energy dissipates:  $\boxed{\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{E}}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq -\mathcal{D}}$ 

In other words, what is  $\mathcal{D}$ ?

$$\underbrace{\frac{t}{dt}}_{\text{(in general: }} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathcal{E}}{\mathrm{d}t} \leq -\underbrace{\mathcal{D}}_{>0} + \mathcal{W})$$

(Which boundary conditions did you choose?)

#### Time-discretization (Allen-Cahn equation)



28/43

### Using a stable scheme (convex-splitting)

#### Time-discretization (Allen-Cahn equation)



28/43

### Forward Euler scheme (explicit)

### Time-discretization (Allen-Cahn equation)



28/43

### Forward Euler scheme (explicit)

### Time-discretization (Convex-splitting )



**John Cahn** (1968) : "Uphill diffusion in a binary system is the dynamic hallmark of the [phase separation] mechanism."

# Outline

**IV** Foundations: Thermomechanics and mixture theory

## Questions: http://menti.com

- I How long ago did you study Continuum Mechanics?
- 2 Did you learn the "Second Law of Thermodynamics" in the context of Continuum Mechanics?



#### Clifford Truesdell, 1984, p. 31:

"Textbooks by physicists hold up thermodynamics as perfect and closed. Thermodynamics today is a blend of statements from most of the founders: Gibbs, Planck, Boltzmann, even from information theory. Confusion is nearly universal. Constitutive properties are not delimited, just pulled out from under the table as needed."





Marsden & Hughes, 1983, p. 176: "The second law of thermodynamics is frequently shrouded in mysterious physical jargon and less than adequate mathematical treatment. The authors' education was no exception. Our own frustration is consistent with Truesdell's (1984, p. 62):

"The difference between mechanics and thermodynamics is that thermodynamics never grew up."



### The unified, rational approach (> 1960)

- 1 (Mathematically precise) laws of physics
- 2 Constitutive class (dependence only)
- 3 Constitutive restrictions as logical consequences from the laws and other principles



### 1. The 5 Laws (for a continuous body)

$$1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \rho \,\mathrm{d}x = 0$$

$$2 \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \rho \boldsymbol{v} \,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \boldsymbol{b} \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}_t} \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{n} \,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$3 \quad (\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{T}})$$

$$4 \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Big( \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \frac{1}{2} \rho |\boldsymbol{v}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x \Big) = \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}_t} \mathbf{T} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \,\mathrm{d}s \\ - \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}_t} \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} r \,\mathrm{d}x \\ 5 \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \boldsymbol{\eta} \,\mathrm{d}x \ge - \int_{\partial \mathcal{P}_t} \frac{\boldsymbol{q}}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \,\mathrm{d}s + \int_{\mathcal{P}_t} \frac{r}{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \,\mathrm{d}x \\ \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{f} \left( \mathbf{T} - \boldsymbol{\rho} \right) = \hat{\mathcal{P}} \left( \mathbf{T} - \boldsymbol{\rho}$$

2. Constitutive class. Example:  $(\mathbf{T}, \epsilon, \boldsymbol{q}, \eta) = F(\nabla \boldsymbol{v}, \theta, \nabla \theta, \ldots)$ 

- 3. Restrictions on the constitutive class
  - Thermodynamic consistency
  - Frame-indifference
  - Equipresence, locality
  - Well-posedness
  - ...

#### 3. Restrictions on the constitutive class



Coleman–Noll procedure (1963)

The Thermodynamics of Elastic Materials with Heat Conduction and Viscosity

BERNARD D. COLEMAN & WALTER NOLL



### Hilbert's 6th: Axiomatize all of physics



### David Hilbert (1900):

"To treat in the same manner, by means of axioms, those physical sciences in which mathematics plays an important part; in the first rank are the theory of probabilities and mechanics."

#### 38/43

### Definition: Mixture

A mixture is a material system made up by two or more different substances which are mixed together but **not** combined chemically.

Miscible mixtures ↔ Immiscible mixtures

 $\mathcal{B}_1$ 

### Mixture kinematics





#### **Mixture kinematics**



#### Continuum mixture

### Derivation of Cahn-Hilliard eq. (binary mixture)

1958 John Cahn (1927–2016) 1894 Diederik van der Waals (1837–1923)

1996 Morton Gurtin





#### Derivation of Cahn-Hilliard eq. (binary mixture)



### Derivation of Cahn-Hilliard eq. (Coleman-Noll procedure)

- Mixture assumptions / Balance laws
- **III.** Introduce free energy  $\psi = \epsilon \theta \eta$  / Dissipation ineq.
- **m** Postulate constitutive class:  $\psi = \hat{\psi}(\varphi, \nabla \varphi)$ ,  $h = \hat{h}(\nabla \mu)$
- Infer restrictions (for phase-flux) using 2nd law
- **v**. Take the canonical choice:  $\psi = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}f(\varphi) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\nabla \varphi|^2$ ,  $h = -\nabla \mu$

#### Derivation of the Cahn-Hilliard equation

- i. Balance law:
- ii. Free-energy dissipation:
- iii. Constitutive dependence:

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = -\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{h} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{V} \psi \, \mathrm{d}V \leq \mathcal{W}(\partial V) \\ & \psi = \hat{\psi}(\varphi, \nabla \varphi), \ \boldsymbol{h} = \hat{\boldsymbol{h}}(\nabla \mu) \end{split}$$

iv. Infer restrictions:

v. Constitutive choice:

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}f(\varphi) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\nabla\varphi|^2, \quad h = -\nabla\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = \Delta \mu \,, \qquad \mu = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f'(\varphi) - \varepsilon \Delta \varphi$$

# Summary and opportunities

- Main principle: Energy dissipation
- Numerics: Energy stable methods
- Foundations: Thermomechanics

[Gomez, van der Zee, 2017]

(Now: Coupled problems!) (Now: IGA & SAV !) (Now: Unifications!)

Computational phase-field modeling, Encyclopedia of Computational Mechanics, 2nd Edition.

[Ten Eikelder, van der Zee, Akkerman, Schillinger, ARXIV 2021] Unified Analysis of Navier–Stokes Cahn–Hilliard models with non-matching densities